



What Is WRONG With This Picture?

There is a story in the book of John; chapter eight, that got my attention. It's another beneficial reason why I no longer believe in the New Testament books. This story is about a woman who was caught adultery and she was brought to Jesus to test him. This man-Jesus confronts everyone that they cannot stone her for her crime because he said for anyone who is not a sinner can stone her, he forgave her and let her go.

What's wrong with this picture of the story? There is a flaw in this story. If the teachers of the law and Pharisees who are some of the Elders of the city knew this woman is already found guilty. Because according to Torah (LAW), the sin of adulterous is a sin and is judge by capital punishment by death. So, the question is, why did they listen to Jesus' statement and drop the stone and walk away? The city people had been stoning people to death for their crime for many generations and were all sinners too. What is the difference between the ancient and those people during Jesus' day? There is no difference, both different times are the same kind of sinner.

Another reason to notice, Jesus was not yet sacrificed on the cross or tree, to die for his blood in order to forgive people's sin. What authority does Jesus have to tell people what they cannot do because they are sinners? Better yet, he claimed to be the sinless one and why hadn't he not stoned her in the first place? The price must be paid for her sin. He didn't even perform animal sacrifice to atone for her sin like how the priest performs at the temple.

According to the law for adultery punishment in Leviticus 20:10 and how the Priest must perform sacrifice to atone people's sin Leviticus 14:19-20:

"The man who commits adultery with another man's wife, who commits adultery with his friend's (neighbour's) wife, both the adulterer and the adulteress shall surely be put to death." (TLV or YLT)

"The kohen (priest) should offer the sin offering and make atonement for the one being cleansed because of his uncleanness. Afterward he is to slaughter the burnt offering. Then the kohen is to present the burnt offering along with the grain offering on the altar. So the kohen should make atonement for him, and he will be clean." (TLV or YLT)



The third reason to look at this story, where is the other adulterous woman she lies with? Not only she was to be brought in for judgement, the other adulterous must also face the judgement as well. By the law in the book of Leviticus chapter 18, 20, both must face the adultery crime for questioning before execution. However, some judgement might be found a little bit different if we read in Numbers 5:12-31. In this case might be different from how a

person who has accused someone wrong. When a man who had lied with a woman lied that she was not a virgin, might deal with a different judgement called. Depending on the situation how they are found guilty or not. Some law order for the adulterous to be cut off, can also mean to be kicked out of their tribe or city. The sinner will no longer be part of the Israelite people. No longer welcome as well.

So, you can see now why these three most beneficial reasons explain why this story in John 8:3-11 is false. Most of all, should we ignore the law that was given by ~~Jesus~~? Why listen to this man-Jesus who is not who we thought he is? That's how bad the trick is about this New Testament doctrine books all about. To twist and brainwash people's minds; that there is absolutely no connection between the Old Testament and New Testament books. Just as how Satan tricked Adam's wife about the forbidden fruit.