

UNDERSTAND WHY JESUS ISN'T WHO WE THINK HE IS




Those who truly believe “**God**” sent “**Jesus**” (or YahuSha), the Christ (or Messiah) down to earth to become a man; to salvation us from sin, the eternal death. Which was a plan to have him die on the cross for us. In an act like the sacrifice lamb of God, which people have been claiming. Believing that Jesus Christ has been around ever since the beginning of creation because people say it’s what the New Testament Bible said. And the book of *John 8:58* says that Jesus claimed he was before Abraham’s time. Some believe he was also the Angels of God who became a man in the flesh and blood. Some others believe that Jesus is the direct Creator ONE; himself who came down as a man. This documented article will explain why many of us haven’t carefully paid close attention to what the TANAKH (or Old Testament) books taught and warned us.

Many don’t take enough to notice what the TORAH warned us about another supernatural being like Jesus from this New Testament doctrine book. When people, such as Pastors or teachers tell us about the apostles (who are also the prophets) from the New Testament Bible, like Matthew, Paul, or John the Revelator who tell tales about Jesus Christ. The first thing I like to point out is that the book of Deuteronomy chapter 13 warns us about any false prophets. אֲנִי אֲנִי (I AM who I AM) warned us not to fall in for any false prophet who tells people to go after other creator one (Gods). Verse 4 clearly warned us that we must ONLY go after אֲנִי (I AM), our Creator Almighty ONE, and HIM we shall revere, and HIS commandment we MUST keep, and listen to HIS words only, and HIM we MUST only serve, and to HIM we MUST hold fast. No one else but אֲנִי ONLY. Yet, many of us somehow overlook this command in Deuteronomy chapter 13. I was too blind not to notice what that command said.

I was blind not to see how many conflicts and errors I’ve found between the Old & New Testaments. I’ve discovered why this Jesus Christ isn’t the man whom אֲנִי , the Creator ONE has promised us about EliYAH who will return one day. Before אֲנִי , who also will return. The book of Malachi chapter 3 or 4, depends on which translation version book we’re using. In those verses, the description of the coming ONE after EliYAH in those last days; does not describe Jesus Christ at all. The book of Malachi emphasizes אֲנִי ’s the unchanging nature and His commitment to Yisrael despite their shortcomings that this creator ONLY ONE will emphasize HIS presence and purification by appearing somehow to the temple that day coming.

Now, we must understand why our modern-day scripture books like the bible; are all bad translation books. Because our So-called modern-day Bible is all screw-up translated books. Since Babylonite and the late 4th century A.D. translators had in vain altered פֿ'שׁ's words. Somehow changing the original Semitic language into Aramaic and Hebrew language. And then another language Greek came along. This is forbidden for us to do that. Aramaic language may not be the # 1 original language that Adam and Abraham (Avraham) have all spoken. The original language didn't have a name for it, because it was the only language at a time. Before the multi-language era, foreign tribes once did recognize Abraham's and Yisraelite's tribe language. The foreign tribe would likely describe their language as Abraham's and/or Yisraelite's language. Both, Abrahamic and Yisraelite people were also being called "the wanderer tribe". FYI, the word "Hebrew" was somehow closely associated with the word "wanderer", and many people did not know that "Hebrew" isn't the language name but a description of the tribal. That is why the scripture book of the Old and New Testament Bible is NOT 100% correct translation manuscript scroll but a concussion. So, this original manuscript scroll was not written directly in the Hebrew language in began with. Ancient Aramaic and Hebrew, both were from the Semitic languages along with the Arabic, Amharic, Akkadian, and Phoenician languages. So, keep in mind, that the Hebrew language is not the original written manuscript book of פֿ'שׁ's word. This is why both, the Hebrew and Greek so-called Bible are not 100% translated books from the original manuscript scroll.



This is also why many other books like the Talmud, the ancient Hebrew scholar, and the book of Strong's Exhaustive Concordance were from Dr. James Strong - who was an American academic, biblical scholar, lexicographer, Methodist theologian, and professor who wrote those books. And there is the Septuagint, also known as LXX, the earliest extant Greek translation of the Hebrew Bible from the Hebrew scripture books. By the third century B.C.E. Jews of Alexandria weren't supposed to be used for research purposes. Because all of these three added books are NOT the original Semitic languages that could give us all or 100% correct English words or definitions. Since it should have been written in the original language. However, the Hebrew language is at least similar language of the ancient Semitic of the Aramaic language. I, Bro. Pouliot personally rather deal with this book of Hebrew-Strong than the Septuagint

(LXX) Greek language for research purposes. Because the Septuagint (LXX) Greek language is often incorrectly translated and is far apart from the original Semitic languages. Have some good evidence to verify the truth and lies.

Let me begin now by explaining why Jesus Christ is NOT who he is according to the New Testament doctrine. Let's first look at the quote word "God" ("Elohim"), because that word GOD (and Elohim) in our English understanding is one of the biggest translated messes. The biggest reason for this mess was because of how the translator replaced the original pictograph Semitic word for "Supreme Father" from this (אָבִיָּהוּ). They also changed the Creator's name (אֱלֹהִים) into this (אֱלֹהִים) and then into this (יהוה). Later, they removed the name by replacing the English word "God", which is the Hebrew word for "Elohim". The word Elohim means "Almighty Creator ONE". They cover up the original pictograph Semitic words "Supreme Father" and HIS name. Even though they may have added these definition words in the dictionary as "the Almighty Father" and/or "Yahweh" or "Yahuah" for the modern Hebrew pronouncing names.

Because the ancient Hebrew-Aramaic language shares some common roots word for "FATHER" is this (אָבִי), while the Greek translation is like this (Αββα), which ends up later changing into English as "ABBA". Notice this Aramaic word "אָבִי" is the same pictograph Semitic word for " אָבִיָּהוּ ". Just in case we don't know about this, all Hebrew-Aramaic languages were written backward from right to left. Notice one pictograph that looks like an image of an ox " אָבִי ", this is an ancient symbol meaning alpha. Alpha also means "Chief" and/or "Father". It is also written like this " אָבִיָּהוּ " or " אָבִיָּהוּ ". The same for "Elohim" (modern-Hebrew: אֱלֹהִים, the plural of אֱלֹהִים ('Ēlōah). Yet, just alone the two words El or (Al) - (אֱלֹהִים - אֱלֹהִים) also mean alpha as chief. This is why I, Bro. Pouliot believes the original Semitic understanding word in our English is supposed to be the "Supreme Father". Instead of using the word "God". Almighty Father or heavenly Father can be used as a description, but the "Supreme Father" is the most proper message from the pictograph Semitic writing and we should honor that way.

We must understand why those words are very confusing. Because it is not as simple as plain words for the Almighty ONE or Master. For example, when we read Exodus 7:1 "I have made you as a *god* to Pharaoh", this would cause us to wonder "Are we gods of God"? Like are we gods like God? Are we equal to HIM as אֱלֹהִים? Questions like that can be a little difficult to answer. That depends on the person's brain. For example, why do we think the name "Jesus" Christ isn't the "Son of the Supreme Father". I will tell why the name "Jesus" was stolen from the name of Joshua, son of Nun in the Old Testament book. It was copycat work. Here is how I found out why that is true. This English name Joshua in the Hebrew-Aramaic language is "YahuSha". Notice the first three letters "YAH" and the four letters "uSha", "YAH" in Hebrew-Aramaic is " אָבִיָּהוּ " or " אֱלֹהִים " which means "I AM", which is the creator's name.

We can verify that in the book of Strong's #H3050, the Supreme Father's name. And the four-letter "uSha" in Hebrew-Aramaic writing is this " Ⓢw1 " (or "ⓈⓌ" [yâsha'] - Strong's H3467), which means to be open, wide or free, that is, (by implication) to be safe; causatively to free or succor: to avenge, defend, deliver, help, preserve, rescue, to be safe, to bring or to have salvation, to save, or to be a Savior, or to get victory. This name Joshua is the same as "YahuSha" in the Hebrew-Aramaic language. And in Aramaic language is "Yeshua".

The name of "Jesus" in our English language comes from the Old Latin as "IESU," which is a transliteration of the Greek word "Iēsoûs (Ἰησοῦς)," Therefore, both names mean "I AM – SAVES" or "Salvation of I AM". That is the reason why we were tricked and fooled about this name, by leading us to the fake creator one who is being called the salvation one.

We might ask "*Who stole the name of YahuSha, the son of Nun*"? Based on history, it appears that the Society of Jesus, also known as the Jesuit Order or the Jesuits, is a religious order of clerics regular of pontifical rights for men in the Catholic Church headquartered in Rome. It was founded way back in 1540 by Ignatius of Loyola and six companions, with the approval of Pope Paul III. They are the same people who somehow throughout time created these New Testament doctrine books as well.

Another example of how we didn't see this badly translated, is that people have been addressing and preaching about the messengers (Angels) who are also called "**sons**" according to Genesis 6:2, 4; Job 1:6; 2:1; and 38:7. This is where we must be extra careful how we read the error translated book in our modern-day Bible. Because of these verses, we will realize the message isn't talking about the sons as spiritual messengers (Angels) also. Read Job 1:6 to see why people who have been using the word "**sons**" of God are also the spiritual messengers (as Angels) all wrong.

"Now there was a day when the sons of God came to present themselves before the LORD, and Satan also came among them". - Job 1:6 (NASB)

"Again there was a day when the sons of God came to present themselves before the LORD, and Satan also came among them to present himself before the LORD". - Job 2:1 (NASB)

Notice how the "**sons of God**" presented themselves before the Creator ONE? While Satan joined along with them? Aren't they human or aren't they the **spiritual messengers (Angels)**? The reason for this question; will help us understand why a man couldn't present themselves before ⓈⓌ in heaven. At the same time, those spiritual messengers aren't human as sons. Both passage verses talk about the spiritual messengers - not mankind. It was translated to illustrate an ideal way on purpose to see this Jesus who was transformed from an angel into a human son who was born on earth.

Only mankind is to be called as son, not the spiritual messengers (as Angels). We as mankind are created image-like $\Psi\text{ד}$ somehow, and these spiritual messengers do not have this image like HIM. The same trouble with the word "God" in a confusing way. Because it is supposed to be translated as "Supreme Father". Because look at what the book of Isaiah 45:5-12 explains why there is only one Supreme Father (God) ONE? Another helpful interest from the Septuagint, a Greek version of the Hebrew Bible for Job 1:6, because this one does not say "gods of God" instead it uses "angels":

"And it came to pass on a day, that behold, the angels of God came to stand before the Lord, and the devil came with them."

Completely different right? That verse is more of a correct way to translate and it makes a lot scene now. Because again, this spiritual messenger (Angel) is not mankind to be called "son". Hebrew for angels is "Malak", keep that in mind. So, there is no need for the Masoretic text to be elusive or cryptic in its description of angels by calling them "sons of God". The same for Job 38:7:

"When the stars were made, all my angels praised me with a loud voice."

We can see why the old Greek LXX text did have some correct info. Knowing that the ancient text was not talking about the "sons" as mankind but the spiritual messengers. So, go and compare those translated with other translations that used "gods of God". Most of all, notice how DIFFERENCE the book of Job 38:7 from many other Bible versions:

"the morning stars were singing together"

Instead of how the LXX said:

"When the stars were made"

This makes a perfect logical scene. Because in the book of Job, this book explains the creation that was made before the existence of mankind on earth. So, we can see now why Job 1:6, Job 2:1, and Job 38:7 are all defined as spiritual messengers (Angels). Knowing that the spiritual messengers are not the "sons of $\Psi\text{ד}$ ".

Here is another example to see the problem, since the holy spiritual messengers who are in heaven are continually always in the presence of where $\Psi\text{ד}$ is. "If" we are talking about during Job's Day, did the evil messengers (the fallen Angels) present themselves before $\Psi\text{ד}$? The answer is NOPE!

The next thing we also need to understand is in Exodus 7:1:

“So Adonai said to Moses, See, I have set you as God to Pharaoh, and Aaron your brother will be your prophet.” - TLC

Do we see the difference from what the Complete Jewish Bible said:

“But Adonai said to Moshe, I have put you in the place of God to Pharaoh, and Aharon your brother will be your prophet”

The trouble with these two verses' examples is how some people view the word “god or God” as we are the gods of God. Thinking like we're equal to ֵדָ. In that case, we must again be careful how we think what our English mindset said to us instead of what the ancient mindset explains in a correct translation understanding.

Now we need to look into the “Father's position”. We might already know that ֵדָ is the head of all. HE is the ruler of the universe. The reason why HE is our Father is because we were made by HIM. By HIS creation handiwork. Just as why the earthly male-alpha is also the father, but is head only to the household family. So, how can this man-Jesus be the head leader like the father, while this Jesus never had been a father in his lifetime on earth? He had NO children of his own and never had a wife. Yet, the New Testament Bible printout in 1st Corinthians 8:6 (ESV) states that he is our father???

“yet for us there is one God, the Father, from whom are all things and for whom we exist, and one Lord, Jesus Christ, through whom are all things and through whom we exist.”

Very confusing right? Now read what the book of Philippians chapter 2, verse 11 said:

“and every tongue confess that Jesus Christ is Lord, to the glory of God the Father.”

This is a serious problem of how the New Testament book is trying to convince us to believe that. This book tells us how “JESUS” stands out as a leader since the ancient time before mankind had ever existed on earth. Some people also apply this with Revelation 22:13 that he is the “Alpha and Omega, the beginning and the end, the first and the last”. This is a big conflict with what the book of Isaiah 44:6 said, it is ONLY ֵדָ, the Supreme ONE who is the ONLY Alpha and Omega, the first and the last. Knowing that there is no one else like HIM, before or after HIM who has done all the marvelous work since of the old.

Most of all, notice how it says "God the Father", this is the ironic wrong statement that most people wouldn't be able to see the error of this. If we fixed by replacing the wrong translated word "God" with the proper English word, "Supreme Father" it would have said correctly like this "**to the glory of the Supreme Father of Father**", do we now see this as a problem here?

Christ Actually In You???

Three scripture verses in the New Testament Bible mention Jesus is in us. Telling us that Jesus is also a spirit while he happens to be a human?

Colossians 1:27: "Christ in you is the hope of glory".

Galatians 2:20: "It is no longer I who live, but Christ who lives in me".

Romans 8:10: "But if Christ is in you, though the body is dead because of sin, the spirit is life because of righteousness".

This causes another reason why many of us believe that Jesus is the same heavenly ONE, who is our Creator Father. But, the problem with this is **אלהים** often said in the TANAKH (Old Testament) books that HE "ALONE" is the ONLY ONE, the only spiritual ONE.

"אלהים is not a man who lies, or a son of man who changes his mind! Does HE speak and then not do it, or promise and not fulfill it" - Numbers 23:19

Notice that verse clearly said that HE is also not a SON OF MAN, meaning HE never formed into being a son like Jesus at all. Change from spirit into human flesh.

"For I AM Almighty Creator ONE. I do not change, So you, children of Jacob, are not consumed." - Malachi 3:6

Again, **אלהים** never had to change or transform HIMSELF into a human in any way HE plans. From how HE presents himself as human flesh to bring salvation is not true. If HE did, he would have done that without needing Mose to go to Egypt to save HIS people. Just as the book of 1st Samuel 15:29 points out why HE wouldn't.

"Moreover, the Eternal Glory of Israel does not lie or change His mind. For HE is not human that HE should change HIS mind."

In the book of Job, Job confirmed that **אלהים** is NOT human like him.

"For HE is not a human being, like I am, that I could answer HIM, that we could go to court together. There is no arbitrator between us, who could lay his hand on us both..." - Job 9:32-33.

This is why Jesus Christ cannot possibly be our Creator Father, because ٱد is not a MAN. This man-Jesus was created by the Roman Catholic cult. And secondly, the word "Christ" is a Greek word χριστός (chrīstós), meaning "anointed one". The same for the Hebrew word "Messiah". It's just weird to use the word Messiah or Christ as anointed one who is in us spiritually. We cannot use that word in the sentence, because it does not make sense. And also, because ٱد have had chosen some of mankind to be anointed ones, like Moses, EliYAH, or Debrah who cannot possibly be a human spirituality. There is no such thing as a human spirit in humans, it's just not logical.

The TANAKH, which is similar to the Old Testament books can assure us that ٱد did not contain statements like "the anointed one is in you" or "the Messiah is in you" at all. The concept of the Messiah in the TANAKH refers to a future anointed leader. It is like saying all of the future anointed leaders would be in you does not make any logical sense. Since every chosen anointed one isn't a spiritual being but only a human being. Just like if Jesus was a real person, he was a man in the flesh and blood. But, since he isn't one of the anointed ones, we already see enough evidence as to why he isn't.

The most point of all is that ALL glory of power and authority belongs to ٱد, no one else - *1 Chronicles 29:11, Deuteronomy 10:14 & Psalm 62:11*. This is why there is no one else like ٱد, who does all the power and authority since the beginning of creation. ٱد alone is the ONLY ONE who is our Savior.

*"You are MY witnesses —it is a declaration of the Almighty Creator ONE — and MY Servant whom I have chosen, so that you may know and believe ME, and understand that I AM HE. **Before Me no other creator one was formed, and there will none after ME.** I, I AM the Almighty Creator ONE— and there is no savior beside ME." - Isaiah 43:10-11*

There is more evidence why this man-Jesus isn't who we think he is read this PDF Slide:

https://www.attest.tv/_files/ugd/a9b19d_19d133e7116144c9add7403532dd0b7c.pdf